E-Filed: March 19, 2014

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ALBERTO CAMACHO, et al., Plaintiff(s),	CASE NO. CV-13-05649 HRL
v. J. ASCENCION CALDERON, et al., Defendant(s)/	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
Counsel report that they have met a following stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R	and conferred regarding ADR and have reached the 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:
The parties agree to participate in the follo	wing ADR process:
appreciably more likely to meet their need. ADR phone conference and may not file th ADR Phone Conference. See Civil Local R Private Process:	ENE) (ADR L.R. 5) ettlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is s than any other form of ADR must participate in an his form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for
referring the case to an AD	The deadline is 90 days from the date of the order R process unless otherwise ordered.)
	May 31, 2014
Dated: 3/18/14	Attorney for Plaintiffs
Dated: 3/18/14	Attorney for Defendants

CONTINUE TO FOLLOWING PAGE

[PROPOSED] ORDER

- M The parties' stipulation is adopted and IT IS SO ORDERED.
- ☐ The parties' stipulation is modified as follows, and IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 19, 2014

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE HOWARD R. LLOYD

When filing this document in ECF, please be sure to use the appropriate Docket Event, e.g., "Stipulation and Proposed Order Selecting Mediation."